Mechanisms generating spatial variability in Ross Sea biogeochemical fields

Matthew C. Long

National Center for Atmospheric Research Climate and Global Dynamics Division

15 November 2010

Global carbon cycle

Atmospheric CO₂



Global carbon cycle

	Anthropogenic CO ₂	Natural CO ₂ reservoir
Atmosphere	4.1 Pg C a ⁻¹ 45%	600 Pg C
Land biosphere	3.0 Pg C a ⁻¹ 29%	2300 Pg C \sim 4 \times Atm
Ocan	2.3 Pg C a ⁻¹ 26% for 2000–2008	$\begin{array}{l} 38,000 \ {\rm Pg} \ {\rm C} \\ \sim 60 \times {\rm Atm} \\ \sim 16 \times {\rm Land} \end{array}$

globalcarbonproject.org



Atmosphere

Terrestrial biosphere

Global carbon cycle



Petit et al. 1999

Outline

1. Motivation

Global carbon cycle: Nutrient utilization in Southern Ocean deepwater formation regions controls ocean-atmosphere partitioning of CO_2 .

2. Introduction to the Ross Sea

An important deepwater formation region, characterized by strong seasonality in surface forcing.

 Mechanisms generating variability in biogeochemical fields Spring: Ekman restratification at ocean fronts;
 Summer: Ice melt, stirring, and algal community composition.

Carbon in seawater



Dissolved inorganic carbon:

 $DIC \equiv [\mathrm{CO}_2] + [\mathrm{HCO}_3^-] + [\mathrm{CO}_3^{2-}]$

where [] denote concentrations in solution. $HCO_3^- \to \text{bicarbonate}$ $CO_3^{2-} \to \text{carbonate}$

Solubility pump

$$[\mathsf{CO}_2]_{sat} = f(T,S)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathsf{DIC}}{\partial z} \uparrow \Longrightarrow \boxed{\mathsf{pCO}_2^{\mathsf{atm}}} \downarrow$$



$$J_{ex} = (1 - A_{ice})k\gamma \left(p\mathsf{CO}_2^{atm} - p\mathsf{CO}_2^{sw}\right)$$

Introduction

Importance of the Southern Ocean

Anthropogenic CO_2 in the ocean $\sim 40\%$ entered through the Southern Ocean



based on tracer time distributions *Khatiwala et al. 2009*

Southern Ocean: meridional circulation



SAMW: Subantarctic Mode Water AAIW: Antarctic Intermediate Water NADW: North Atlantic Deep Water CDW: Circumpolar Deep Water AABW: Antarctic Bottom Water

Marinov et al. 2006

Divergence poleward of the Polar Front brings deepwater to the surface.

Upper cell: Subduction forms northward-flowing Antarctic Intermediate Water and Subantarctic Mode Water.

Lower cell: Antarctic Bottom Water is formed along the continental margin.

CORSACS cruises

Controls on Ross Sea Algal Community Structure



CORSACS cruises

Controls on Ross Sea Algal Community Structure





The most productive region in Southern Ocean



Annual polynya formation



Top: SSM/I ice, fraction of ice free time (1995–2006) Middle: SeaWiFS chlorophyll *a*(1997–2006) Bottom: *p*CO₂^{sw} climatology from all *R/V N. B. Palmer* data (1995–2006)

Antarctic continental shelves



Deepwater formation

Ross Sea temperature and salinity



Padman et al. 2009



As ice clears, phytoplankton bloom reduces pCO₂^{sw};

- Sea ice limits winter outgassing;
- Net CO₂ sink: 13 Tg C yr⁻¹; ~5% of entire Southern Ocean.



Arrigo et al. 2008

What mechanisms control the spatial and temporal variability of primary productivity and biogeochemical fluxes on the Ross Sea continental shelf?

CORSACS stations



Spring cruise: 2006–2007

Satellite primary productivity and open water area:



Bloom is taking off, temporal rates of change are high!

Long et al. 2010

Spring *p*CO₂^{sw} decline mid-November–early-December



Background: gray = ice, white = open water. Long et al. submitted Nutrient replete



Low iron variability (& low iron)



Sedwick et al. in review

Restratification can alleviate light limitation



 $[\]mathsf{PAR} = \mathsf{photosynthetically}$ available radiation

Climatological hydrography

Zonal salinity gradient



Orsi & Wiederwohl 2009

Shelf topography interacts with mean flow



- Regional flow drives confluence of different water masses
- Flow is largely barotropic, thus shaped by topography

Submesoscale variability in biogeochemistry

Observations of spring bloom



Zonal density gradient



Observations of spring bloom



Elevated oxygen concentrations found to the west of fronts.

Long et al. submitted





Observations of spring bloom

Enhanced stratification and elevated biomass associated with fronts.

$$N^2 = -(g/\rho)d\rho/dz$$



Zonal density gradient



Predominantly southerly winds: tendency for Ekman transport to advect light water over dense.



Hypothesis: Ekman restratification



(Northern Hemisphere example)

Thomas & Ferrari 2008

Numerical Experiments

- Modified version of ROMS, using biogeochemical model of Fennel et al. [2006].
- Vertical mixing parameterized using KPP [Large et al. 1994].
- Density structure and biogeochemical fields initialized using spring cruise data.



EXP 1: 1D surface buoyancy fluxes only; EXP 2: 2D uniform lateral buoyancy gradient $(3 \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}^{-2})$; and EXP 3: 2D variable lateral buoyancy gradient initialized using

$$S_i = s(z) + \frac{\partial \overline{S}}{\partial x} x + \sum_{n=1}^3 a_n \sin\left(\frac{2n\pi x}{L} + \phi_n\right),$$

fit to salinity observations along 76°30'S.

Salinity evolution in 1D and 2D experiments



 1D case: mixed layer deepens continually, entrainment increases salinity and density;

 2D case: Ekman advection of buoyancy limits mixed layer depth; pycnostads are are formed, capping relic mixed layers.

Defining the Ekman buoyancy flux (EBF)

Buoyancy equation:

$$rac{\partial b}{\partial t} = -
abla \cdot \left(egin{array}{c} {\sf u}b \ + \ {\sf F}^b \end{array}
ight)$$

Integrated over depth h (2D experiments):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{-h}^{0} \overline{b} dz = -\overline{F}_{b}^{atm} + \overline{F}_{b}^{ent} - \int_{-h}^{0} \overline{u} \frac{\partial \overline{b}}{\partial x} dz$$

Ekman buoyancy flux $(h > \delta_{ek})$:

$$\text{EBF} = \int_{-h}^{0} \overline{u} \frac{\partial \overline{b}}{\partial x} dz = \mathbf{M}_{e} \cdot \nabla_{h} b_{s}$$

Ekman advection provides stabilizing buoyancy flux



Positive surface flux indicates cooling (i.e. $F_b^{atm} \uparrow$).

During initial high winds, EBF dominates over destabilizing F_b^{atm} .

Except in strongest winds, the EBF is greater than buoyancy losses associate with entrainment at the base of the mixed layer (F_b^{ent}).



Deep and shallow mixed layers in close proximity

Mixed layer variations are reflected in biogeochemical fields



Ekman restratification enhances primary productivity;

 Biomass and oxygen accumulation, as well as CO₂ drawdown are enhanced where EBF < 0.

Divining the signature of the EBF



 pCO₂^{sw} is correlated with buoyancy field and the EBF on about 10 km scales;

 Phasing of relationship complicated by space-time convolution inherent in observations.

Summer cruise: 2005–2006

Satellite primary productivity and open water area:



After bloom peak, substantial portion of seasonal NCP already occurred, rates of change are low.

Long et al. accepted, JGR

Deep convection homogenizes spring water column

Variance is constructed *de novo* each summer.

Typical profiles:



Rate processes decouple from geochemical tracers



Long et al. accepted, JGR



Variance propagates downscale

Evolution of an unstable baroclinic front



Initial DIC distribution: $DIC_0 = f(T)$

Mahadevan et al. 2004

Locally intense pCO_2^{sw} drawdown due to ice-melt



Shallow mixed layers export a higher percentage of Corg



Summer/Spring

Community composition aligns with mixed layer depth



Air-sea exchange is proportional to net production



Corg left in the water column



Understanding the fate of carbon on the Ross Sea shelf

Observations and box model



Overflow/entrainment



Arrigo et al. 2008

Summary

- Ekman restratification plays an important role triggering the spring bloom, contributing ~ 20% of annual production (2006–2007);
- Solar heating and ice-melt derived freshwater fluxes drive restratification later in the season;
- Fronts linked to bathymetry explain the location of recurring blooms; interannual variability in wind direction can modulate the magnitude of seasonal primary production;
- Accurately representing the processes affecting stratification, rates of NPP, and air-sea exchange is important to resolving the global ocean carbon cycle;
- In the future, biogeochemical fluxes are likely to change due to different physical regimes and shifts in algal community composition.

