Fall 2015 GEOL0350–GeoMath Midterm Exam

1 Seismic Waves

The seismic wave equation can be written

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}}{\partial t^2} = \alpha^2 \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) - \beta^2 \nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}). \tag{1}$$

The vector **u** is a function of space and time, and it measures the displacement of every point in a solid from its original location. The two parameters α and β are constants.

1.1 Fields

What kind of a mathematical object is \mathbf{u} ? \mathbf{u} is a vector field.

1.2 Dimensions

What are the dimensions of α and β ? They both have the dimensions of velocity, L/T.

1.3 Split It Up

Suppose we write the displacement as a combination of a scalar potential ϕ and a vector streamfunction $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ (a Helmholtz decomposition), that is $\mathbf{u} = \nabla \phi + \nabla \times \boldsymbol{\psi}$. Plug this assumed form into the seismic wave equation, and collect all of the terms that depend only on ϕ on the left hand side and those that depend only on $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ on the right hand side. Simplify where you can easily.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 \nabla \phi + \nabla \times \psi}{\partial t^2} &= \frac{\partial^2 \nabla \phi}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \nabla \times \psi}{\partial t^2} = \alpha^2 \nabla (\nabla \cdot [\nabla \phi + \nabla \times \psi])^0 - \beta^2 \nabla \times (\nabla \times [\nabla \phi + \nabla \times \psi]), \\ \frac{\partial^2 \nabla \phi}{\partial t^2} - \alpha^2 \nabla^2 \nabla \phi &= -\frac{\partial^2 \nabla \times \psi}{\partial t^2} - \beta^2 \nabla \times (\nabla \times [\nabla \times \psi]) \\ &= -\frac{\partial^2 \nabla \times \psi}{\partial t^2} - \beta^2 \nabla (\nabla \cdot [\nabla \times \psi])^0 + \beta^2 \nabla^2 (\nabla \times \psi), \end{aligned}$$

1.4 Solution

The seismic P-wave is associated with ϕ and the S-wave is associated with ψ . Show that $\phi = f(x - \alpha t)$, for any function f makes the left hand side of the equation from the last part equal zero.

$$\frac{\partial^2 \nabla f(x-\alpha t)}{\partial t^2} - \alpha^2 \nabla^2 \nabla f(x-\alpha t) = \alpha^2 \hat{\mathbf{x}} f'''[x-\alpha t] - \alpha^2 \hat{\mathbf{x}} f'''[x-\alpha t] = 0.$$

2 Linear and Nonlinear

We have talked a lot about linear and nonlinear functions and equations. This question explores these ideas.

2.1 Equations for a Line

Show that y = mx is linear, by considering $x = 2x_0$ and $x = x_1 + x_2$, with m being constant.

 $y = mx = m(x_1 + x_2) = mx_1 + mx_2 = y_1 + y_2, \qquad y = m2x_0 = 2mx_0 = 2y_0.$

2.2 Parabolic

Use the same approach to show that $y = mx^2$ is not linear.

 $y = mx^{2} = m(x_{1} + x_{2})^{2} = mx_{1}^{2} + mx_{2}^{2} + 2mx_{1}x_{2} \neq mx_{1}^{2} + mx_{2}^{2} = y_{1} + y_{2}, \qquad y = m(2x_{0})^{2} = 4mx_{0}^{2} = 4y_{0}.$

2.3 Differential

Use the same approach to show that $x = \frac{\partial x}{\partial t}$ is linear.

 $x = \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial x_1 + x_2}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial t} = x_1 + x_2, \qquad x = 2x_0 = \frac{\partial 2x_0}{\partial t} = 2\frac{\partial x_0}{\partial t} = 2x_0.$

2.4 Linear Equations, Nonlinear Solutions?

In class, we saw that the solution to $x = \frac{\partial x}{\partial t}$ was $x = Ce^t$. Is this equation linear? Is this solution a line? What does this tell you about linear equations? Equation is linear, solution is not a line. Thus, linear equations can be solved by lines sometimes, but other times other functions. Just because the solution is not a line doesn't mean the equation is not linear.

3 Taylor rhymes with Baylor

Suppose the function h(x) plotted in the figure is found by measuring topography along the x direction, and in particular consider fitting it with a series expansion near the point marked A. Sea level is h = 0, so we are particularly interested in h(x) = 0, which indicates the location of coastlines. The function is not known, but we consider the possibility of approximating a Taylor series expansion to it.



Figure 1: A function to be fit by Taylor expansion near point A at the star.

3.1 Counting

How many coastlines are there, that is how many solutions to h(x) = 0 are there? 4

3.2 Constant

If the function is fit with a Taylor series and truncated at the first (constant) term. If the truncated approximation is denoted $\tilde{h}_0(x)$, then how many solutions are there to $\tilde{h}_0(x) = 0$? None

3.3 Variations

If the Taylor series is instead truncated after two terms $(\tilde{h}_1(x))$ then how many solutions are there to $\tilde{h}_1(x) = 0$, and what is the approximate value of the solution x? One, near x = 12.

3.4 How many?

What is the minimum number of terms in the Taylor series that must be retained to approximate all of the coastlines in the real function h(x)? Why? Since there are 4 roots to the equation h(x) = 0, $\tilde{h}(x)$ must be at least a fourth-order polynomial to have this many roots, which has 5 terms of the Taylor series retained.

3.5 Extremes

Consider the function at large magnitudes of positive and negative x. If the truncated Taylor series matches this behavior at large |x|, predict the sign of the coefficient in the largest power of x and whether the power is even or odd. Positive and even power. Negative would tend toward $-\infty$, and even power since the function increases on both sides of A or 0.

4 Impossible!

Indicate why the following equations cannot be true.

4.1 Q1

 $\sin(kx) \approx a + bx^2 + cx^4 + \dots$

Sine is odd and the RHS is even.

4.2 Q2

$$f(\sin^2\theta + \cos^2\theta) = \theta$$

The function on the left must be constant in theta, since its argument is independent of θ , while the other side depends on θ .

4.3 Q3

$$\oint \mathbf{v} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \mathbf{v}$$

At first, it seems like the LHS would be zero, but it might not be if $\nabla \times v \neq 0$. However, the LHS is a scalar and the RHS is a vector!

4.4 Q4

$$\oint \int (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} \, \mathrm{d}A = 1$$

By the divergence theorem, $\oiint (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} \, \mathrm{d}A = \iiint \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) \, \mathrm{d}V = 0$ so the LHS is zero.

4.5 Q5

Speed always equals speed squared.

Two problems. 1) Units/dimensions don't match. 2) $s = s^2$ only if s = 1, not always.