# Fall 2014 GEOL0350–GeoMath Final Exam v1

## 1 ODElay

We have already found the general solution to an ordinary differential equation for seismic wave displacements (x, y) away from their steady positions  $(x^*, y^*)$  at a given location and it is (where  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  may be complex constants):

$$\begin{pmatrix} x - x^* \\ y - y^* \end{pmatrix} = c_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} e^{(i-0.1)t} + c_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} e^{(-i-0.1)t} + c_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} e^{-10t}$$

### 1.1 One Behavior

If  $(x - x^*, y - y^*) = (1, 1)$  and  $\frac{d(x - x^*, y - y^*)}{dt} = (-10, -10)$  at t = 0, what happens at larger t?

#### **1.2** Another Behavior

What if  $(x - x^*, y - y^*) = (-2, 2)$  at t = 0, what kinds of motion result?

## 1.3 Quandary

How does one distinguish  $c_1$  from  $c_2$  since both describe initial positions along (1,-1)?

### 1.4 Fixed Point

What is the long time behavior of this system, regardless of initial conditions?

#### 1.5 System

What category of ordinary differential equation would you guess was solved by this solution?

## 2 PDQ PDE

Consider two functions that satisfy the two-dimensional Poisson equation and two that satisfy Helmholtz's equation within the two-dimensional domain  $-1 \le x \le 1, -1 \le y \le 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 \phi &= f(x,y), \quad \nabla^2 \psi = f(x,y), \\ \nabla^2 \alpha + k^2 \alpha &= 0, \quad \nabla^2 \beta + k^2 \beta = 0. \end{aligned}$$

## 2.1 Super 1

Use superposition to determine an equation that  $\phi - \psi$  solves.

### 2.2 Super 2

Now determine an equation that  $\alpha - \beta$  solves.

### 2.3 BCs

Suppose  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  both satisfy the following boundary conditions:  $\psi = \phi = 0$  on  $x = \pm 1$ , and  $\psi = \phi = 1$  on  $y = \pm 1$  in addition to their field equations. Now what equations does  $\phi - \psi$  solve, and what is the solution for  $\phi - \psi$ ?

### 2.4 BCs 2

Suppose  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  both satisfy the following boundary conditions:  $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = 0$  on  $x = \pm 1$ , and  $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} = 0$  on  $y = \pm 1$  in addition to their field equations. Now what equations does  $\phi - \psi$  solve, and what is the solution?

# 3 Absolut Dynamical Systems

Consider the following dynamical system, which is extremely nonlinear but only at one point.

$$\dot{x} = r - |x| \tag{1}$$

**3.1** Sketch  $\dot{x}$  versus x for r < 0, r = 0, r > 0 and denote stable and unstable fixed points.

**3.2** Sketch  $x^*$  versus r.

## 3.3 What kind of bifurcation is exhibited by (1)?

## 3.4 Contrast the bifurcation in system (1) versus the one in (2).

$$\dot{x} = r(1 - |x|) \tag{2}$$

## 4 Stats–Whole Lotta Shakin

The Gutenberg-Richter Law gives the number N of earthquakes in a region of time period of at least magnitude M. It can be expressed under simple conditions over a time window and location where there are 100 earthquakes of any magnitude as:

$$N = 10^{2-M}$$

A useful hint in this question will be:

$$\int^x 10^{2-M} \,\mathrm{d}M = -\frac{10^{2-x}}{\log 10}$$

Also note that M ranges from 0 to  $\infty$ .

#### 4.1 Normalization

The probability density function  $\rho(M)$  is proportional to the number of events in this case, but is normalized differently, so  $\rho(M) = C10^{2-M}$ . Use the integral formula above to find C and express the probability density function for the Gutenberg-Richter law.

### 4.2 Likelihood

How likely is a magnitude 5 or greater event versus a magnitude 4 or greater event?

### 4.3 Percentile

What magnitude is the 99th percentile?

## 4.4 Hypothesis

With significance p < 0.01, if only one earthquake was observed, what magnitude or greater would be needed to reject the hypothesis that this Gutenberg-Richter Law applies?

## 5 Stats-Central Limit & Monte Carlo

A new mode of climate variability is detected with a timescale shorter than a month, and it involves three different locations of the atmospheric jet stream. They are not of equal likelihood, but they are equally far from one another in distance based on a unit L. By looking back at historical records, it is determined that y = 1L occurs 250 out of 2500 months, y = 2L occurs 500 out of 2500 months, y = 3L occurs 750 out of 2500 months, and y = 4L occurs 1000 out of 2500 months. No other locations are observed.

### 5.1 Draw

Draw a histogram of the historical record data.

## 5.2 Mean

What is the average position y of the jet stream?

## 5.3 Variance

What is the variance of the position?

### 5.4 Combine 2

If 2 independent months are chosen, what is the likelihood that at least 1 of them will have a jet stream at y = L?

### 5.5 Mean of 4

If 4 independent months are chosen, use the central limit theorem to estimate the likelihood that the mean of these 4 months will be lower than 2L.

## 5.6 Bootstrapping

How does one use bootstrapping to improve this estimate of a particular 4 month sample? What about uncertainties on other statistics of the distribution not subject to the central limit theorem (e.g., skewness, kurtosis)?

## 5.7 Monte Carlo

Since we have a larger set of data than just 4 months from the historical data, how might Monte Carlo methods be used to make an even better estimate of the statistics of *any* 4 month's mean and uncertainty in *any* 4 month's samples of skewness and kurtosis drawn from the historical distribution?