From Climate to Kolmogorov – Simulations Spanning Upper Ocean Scales

Baylor Fox-Kemper (CU-Boulder & CIRES)

with Peter Hamlington (CU),

Sean Haney (ATOC), Adrean Webb (APPM), Scott Bachman (ATOC), Katie McCaffrey (ATOC) Keith Julien (CU-APPM),

Luke Van Roekel (Northland), Peter Sullivan (NCAR), Jim McWilliams (UCLA), Mark Hemer (CSIRO)

Frontiers in Computation Sponsors: NSF 1245944, 0934737, 0825614, NASA NNX09AF38G The Earth's Climate System is driven by the Sun's light (minus outgoing infrared) on a global scale

Dissipation concludes turbulence cascades on scales about a billion times smaller









Resolution will be an issue for centuries to come!

Resolution of Ocean Component of Coupled IPCC models



The Ocean is Vast & Diverse: just one spectral cascade?





Truncation of Cascades



1963: Smagorinsky Devises Viscosity Scaling, So that the Energy Flow is Preserved, but order-1 gridscale Reynolds #: $Re^* = UL/\nu_*$

$$\boldsymbol{\nu_{*h}} = \left(\frac{\Upsilon_h \Delta x}{\pi}\right)^2 \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial u_*}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v_*}{\partial y}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial u_*}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v_*}{\partial x}\right)^2}$$

The Character of the ¹⁰⁰_{km} Mesoscale

(Capet et al., 2008)



Longitude

Fig. 16. Sea surface temperature measured at 1832 UTC 3 Jun 2006 off Point Conception in the California Current from ContWatch (http://oustwatch.plog.nous.gov). The fronts between recently upwelled water (i.e., 157–167C) and off-hore water (#177C) show submessociale instabilities with wavelengths areand 30 km (right front) or 15 km (left front). Images for 1 day earlier and 4 days later show persistence of the instability events.

- Boundary Currents
- ø Eddies
- Ro=O(0.1)
- Ri=O(1000)
- Full Depth
- Eddies strain to produce Fronts
- a 100km, months

Eddy processes mainly baroclinic & barotropic instability. Parameterizations of baroclinic instability (GM, Visbeck...).



2d Turbulence Differs



1996: Leith Devises Viscosity Scaling, So that the Enstrophy Flow is Preserved

$$u_* = \left(\frac{\Lambda \Delta x}{\pi}\right)^3 \left| \nabla_h \left(\frac{\partial u_*}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial v_*}{\partial x} \right) \right|.$$

MOLES Turbulence Like Pot'l Enstrophy cascade, but divergent



F-K & Menemenlis Revise Leith Viscosity Scaling, So that diverging, vorticity-free, modes are also damped

$$\nu_* = \left(\frac{\Delta x}{\pi}\right)^3 \sqrt{\Lambda^6 |\nabla_h q_{2d}|^2 + \Lambda^6_d |\nabla_h (\nabla_h \cdot \mathbf{u}_*)|^2}.$$

B. Fox-Kemper and D. Menemenlis. Can large eddy simulation techniques improve mesoscale-rich ocean models? In M. Hecht and H. Hasumi, editors, Ocean Modeling in an Eddying Regime, volume 177, pages 319-338. AGU Geophysical Monograph Series, 2008.



(meso) interact with Little, Shallow (submeso)

Big, Deep

B. Fox-Kemper, R. Ferrari, and R. W. Hallberg.
Parameterization of mixed layer eddies. Part I: Theory and diagnosis. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 38(6):1145-1165, 2008.

The Character of the Submesoscale

(Capet et al., 2008)



Longitude





Fronts

Eddies
 Edd

Ro=O(1)

Ri=O(1)

near-surface

1–10km, days

Eddy processes often baroclinic instability

Parameterizations of
submesoscale baroclinic
instability?

B. Fox-Kemper, R. Ferrari, and R. W. Hallberg. Parameterization of mixed layer eddies. Part I: Theory and diagnosis. Journal of Physical Oceanography,

S. Bachman and B. Fox-Kemper. Eddy parameterization challenge suite. I: Eady spindown. Ocean Modelling, 2013. In press.



Physical Sensitivity of Ocean Climate to MLE: Mixed Layer Eddy Restratification



max=1422m. min=-1600m



B. Fox-Kemper, G. Danabasoglu, R. Ferrari, S. M. Griffies, R. W. Hallberg,
M. M. Holland, M. E. Maltrud, S. Peacock, and B. L. Samuels.
Parameterization of mixed layer eddies. III: Implementation and impact in
global ocean climate simulations. *Ocean Modelling*, 39:61-78, 2011.

Improves CFCs (water masses)

Bias with MLE

Bias

w/o

MLE

Bias w/o MLE



The Character of

the Langmuir Scale

- Near-surface
- Langmuir Cells & Langmuir Turb.
- Ro>>1
- Ri<1: Nonhydro</p>
- ⊘ 1–10m
- a 10s to mins
 - w, u=O(10cm/s)
 - Stokes drift
 - Eqtns:Craik-Leibovich
 - Params: McWilliams & Sullivan, 2000, etc.

lmøge: NPR.org, Deep Water Hortzon Spill



Data + LES, Southern Ocean mixing energy: Langmuir (Stokesdrift-driven) and Convective



S. E. Belcher, A. A. L. M. Grant, K. E. Hanley, B. Fox-Kemper, L. Van Roekel, P. P. Sullivan, W. G. Large, A. Brown, A. Hines, D. Calvert, A. Rutgersson, H. Petterson, J. Bidlot, P. A. E. M. Janssen, and J. A. Polton. A global perspective on Langmuir turbulence in the ocean surface boundary layer. Geophysical Research Letters, 39(18):L18605, 9pp, 2012.

Including Wave-driven Mixing Deepens the Mixed Layer!

Fig: M. Hemer

M. A. Hemer, B. Fox-Kemper, & R. R. Harcourt. Quantifying the effects of wind waves the the coupled climate system, in prep. 2012.





Generalized Turbulent Langmuir No., Projection of u*, u_s into Langmuir Direction

$$\frac{\left\langle \overline{w'^2} \right\rangle_{ML}}{u_*^2} = 0.6 \cos^2 \left(\alpha_{LOW} \right) \left[1.0 + \left(3.1La_{proj} \right)^{-2} + \left(5.4La_{proj} \right)^{-4} \right],$$

$$La_{proj}^2 = \frac{\left| u_* \right| \cos(\alpha_{LOW})}{\left| u_s \right| \cos(\theta_{ww} - \alpha_{LOW})},$$

$$\alpha_{LOW} \approx \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\sin(\theta_{ww})}{\frac{u_*}{u_s(0)\kappa} \ln\left(\left| \frac{H_{ML}}{z_1} \right| \right) + \cos(\theta_{ww})} \right)$$

A scaling for LC strength & direction!

L. P. Van Roekel, B. Fox-Kemper, P. P. Sullivan, P. E. Hamlington, and S. R. Haney. The form and orientation of Langmuir cells for misaligned winds and waves. Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans, 117:C05001, 22pp, 2012.



$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u}_L \cdot \nabla \rho = \mathrm{SGS} \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\boldsymbol{\omega} + f\hat{\mathbf{z}}) \times \mathbf{u}_L = -\nabla \pi - \frac{g\rho\hat{\mathbf{z}}}{\rho_0} + \mathrm{SGS}$$

Computational parameters: Domain size: 20km x 20km x -160m Grid points: 4096 x 4096 x 128 Resolution: 5m x 5m x -1.25m

Movie: P. Hamlington



Zoom: Submeso-Langmuir Interaction!



Diverse types of interaction



P. E. Hamlington, L. P. Van Roekel, B. Fox-Kemper, K. Julien, and G. P. Chini. Langmuir-submesoscale interactions: Descriptive analysis of multiscale simulations. In preparation, 2012.

Frontiers in Computational Physics December 17, 2012, Boulder, CO

Waves (Stokes Drift Vortex Force) -> Submeso, Meso



Initial Submeso flowPerturbation on that scaledue to wavesContours: 0.1Contours: 0.014

J. C. McWilliams and B. Fox-Kemper. Oceanic wave-balanced surface fronts and filaments. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 2012. Submitted.



L. Cavaleri, B. Fox-Kemper, and M. Hemer. Wind waves in the coupled climate system. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 93(11):1651-1661, 2012.

Conclusions

- Climate modeling is challenging partly due to the vast and diverse scales of fluid motions
- In the upper ocean, horizontal scales as big as basins, and as small as meters contribute nonnegligibly to the air-sea exchange
- Process models, especially those spanning a whole or multiple scales, are a powerful tool in studying these connections and improving subgrid models.

Extrapolate for historical perspective: The Golden Era of Subgrid Modeling is Now!



All papers at: fox-kemper.com/research

