From Climate to Kolmogorov: upper ocean variability across scales Baylor Fox-Kemper (Brown DEEP Sciences, Brandeis M.A. Physics '98) with Brodie Pearson (Brown), Frank O. Bryan (NCAR), and S. Bachman (DAMTP) Brandeis IGERT Seminar 2/8/17, Sponsor: NSF 1350795





The Earth's Climate System is driven by the Sun's light (minus outgoing infrared) on a global scale



#### Dissipation concludes turbulence cascades to scales about a billion times smaller





Kiehl and Trenberth 1997



#### Resolution will be an issue for centuries to come!

Resolution of Ocean Component of Coupled IPCC models



IPCC is a UN body that collates climate simulations from centers worldwide

If we can't resolve a process, we need to develop a parameterization or subgrid model of its effect

BFK, S. Bachman, B. Pearson, and S. Reckinger, 2014: Principles and advances in sub- grid modeling for eddy-rich simulations. CLIVAR Exchanges, 19(2):42–46.

# Boussinesq Equations of Fluid Motion

Approx. Incompressible

 $\nabla_{i}u_{i} = 0, \quad b = \widetilde{b}(S, \Theta, P_{0} - \rho_{0}gz), \quad (8.2)$   $\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \nabla_{i}(u_{i}S) = \dot{S}, \quad \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + \nabla_{i}(u_{i}c) = \dot{c}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Theta + \nabla_{i}(u_{i}\Theta) = \dot{\Theta}, \quad (8.3)$   $\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial t} + \epsilon_{jik}2\Omega_{i}u_{k} + \nabla_{j}(u_{j}u_{i}) + \nabla_{i}p - b\delta_{zj} = \dot{u}_{j}$ 

Coriolis

Pressure buoyancy Grad. (gravity) Force other

# Choices are made in model representations...

- Subgrid parameterizations
  - @ "Do no harm" vs. "approximate unresolved scales"
- Resolution
  - "Permitting", "Resolving", Etc.
- These choices amount to establishing the "other" terms in the equations of motion relevant for large-scale motions.

# E.G.: Molecular Viscosity



Divergence Viscous Flux

 $F \approx \nu \nabla^2 v$ nearly constant viscosity

 $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{\nu} \mathbf{\nabla} \mathbf{v}$ 

Laplacian is deviation from average of the neighbors

 $\mathbf{F} \propto \frac{\mathbf{v}(x + \Delta x, y) + \mathbf{v}(x - \Delta x, y) - 2\mathbf{v}(x, y)}{2\Delta x^2} + \frac{\mathbf{v}(x, y + \Delta y) + \mathbf{v}(x, y - \Delta y) - 2\mathbf{v}(x, y)}{2\Delta y^2}$ 

Emery et al



#### Parameterizations

Anyone who doesn't take truth seriously in small matters cannot be trusted in large ones either.

⊘ —A.E.



### Different Uses, Different Needs

- MORANS (e.g., CESM; >50km)
- Mesoscale Ocean Reynolds-Averaged Navier-Stokes
- No small-scale instabilities resolved, all instabilities to be parameterized
- MOLES = SMORANS (e.g., grid 5–50km)
- Mesoscale Ocean Large Eddy Simulation
- Submesoscale Ocean Reynolds-Averaged Navier-Stokes
- Same Resolution, Different Parameterizations!
- SMOLES = BLORANS (e.g., grid 100m-1km)
- Submesoscale Ocean Reynolds-Averaged Navier-Stokes
- Boundary Layer Ocean Reynolds-Averaged Navier-Stokes
- BLOLES (e.g., grid 1–5m)
- Boundary Layer Ocean Large Eddy Simulation



Viscosity Scheme: BFK and D. Menemenlis. Can large eddy simulation techniques improve mesoscalerich ocean models? In M. Hecht and H. Hasumi, editors, Ocean Modeling in an Eddying Regime, volume 177, pages 319-338. AGU Geophysical Monograph Series, 2008.

#### 18km resolution

#### Estimating the Circulation & Climate of the Ocean LLC4320 Model



B. Fox-Kemper, S. Bachman, B. Pearson, and S. Reckinger. Principles and advances in subgrid modeling for eddy-rich simulations. CLIVAR Exchanges, 19(2):42-46, July 2014.

#### Estimating the Circulation & Climate of the Ocean LLC4320 Model



Local Analysis: Z. Jing, Y. Qi, BFK, Y. Du, and S. Lian. Seasonal thermal fronts and their associations with monsoon forcing on the continental shelf of northern South China Sea: Satellite measurements and three repeated field surveys in winter, spring and summer. Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans, August 2015. In press.

200km x 600km x 700m domain

> 1000 Day Simulation

If we lose the globe, much higher resolution!

G. Boccaletti, R. Ferrari, and BFK.
Mixed layer instabilities and
restratification. Journal of Physical
Oceanography, 37(9):2228-2250,
2007.



20km x 20km x 150m domain

10 Day Simulation

#### 4m x 4m x 1m Resolution



CU, now CU

CU, now LANL

P. E. Hamlington, L. P. Van Roekel, BFK, K. Julien, and G. P. Chini. Langmuir-submesoscale interactions: Descriptive analysis of multiscale frontal spin-down simulations. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 44(9):2249-2272, September 2014.







Asterisks denote \*resolved\* quantities, rather than true values <sup>1</sup> Gridscale Reynolds and Péclet numbers MUST be O(1) for numerical stability

B. Fox-Kemper and D. Menemenlis. Can large eddy simulation techniques improve mesoscale-rich ocean models? In M. Hecht and H. Hasumi, editors, Ocean Modeling in an Eddying Regime, volume 177, pages 319-338. AGU Geophysical Monograph Series, 2008.

# 3D Turbulence Cascade



1963: Smagorinsky Scale & Flow Aware Viscosity Scaling, So the Energy Cascade is Preserved, but order-1 gridscale Reynolds #:  $Re^* = UL/\nu_*$  $(\Upsilon_L\Lambda r)^2 \sqrt{(\partial \mu - \partial \nu)^2} (\partial \mu - \partial \nu)^2$ 

$$\mathbf{v}_{*h} = \left(\frac{\Upsilon_h \Delta x}{\pi}\right)^2 \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial u_*}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v_*}{\partial y}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial u_*}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v_*}{\partial x}\right)^2}.$$

# 3D Turbulence Cascade



 $\mathbf{v}_{*h} = \left(\frac{\Upsilon_h \Delta x}{\pi}\right)^2 \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial u_*}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v_*}{\partial y}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial u_*}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v_*}{\partial x}\right)^2}$ 

# Careful to preserve symmetries!

 $\dot{u}_j = -\nabla_i (u'_i u'_j) \approx \nabla_i \nu_* \nabla_i \overline{u}_{*j}$ 

Divergence of a symmetric tensor: Reg'd for conservation of angular momentum.

symmetrize?:  $\frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla_i \overline{u}_{*j} + \nabla_j \overline{u}_{*i} \right)$ 

### Mathematicians don't like this...



The Institute for Computational and Experimental Research in Mathematics (ICERM)

Program at ICERM, now, elaborate search for weak solutions of equations, e.g., Boussinesq equations with molecular viscosity. Analytic finesse req'd to handle nonlinear terms.

LES introduces \*new nonlinearities\* through the flow-aware parameterizations, which may require new analytic approaches.

 $\dot{u}_j = -\nabla_i (\overline{u'_i u'_j}) \approx \nabla_i \overline{\nu}_* \nabla_i \overline{u}_{*j}$ 

### 2D Turbulence Differs R

R. Kraichnan, 1967 JFM



1996: Leith Devises Viscosity Scaling, So that the Enstrophy (vorticity<sup>2</sup>) Cascade is Preserved

$$\mathbf{v}_* = \left(\frac{\Lambda \Delta x}{\pi}\right)^3 \left| \nabla_h \left( \frac{\partial u_*}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial v_*}{\partial x} \right) \right|$$

Barotropic or stacked layers Some MOLES Truncation Methods In Use 2d (shallow water) test

Harmonic/Biharmonic/Numerical 0 Many. Often not scale- or flow-aware Griffies & Hallberg, 2000, is one aware example Fox-Kemper & Menemenlis, 2008. ECCO2. 0 Leith Viscosity (2d Enstrophy Scaling) Chen, Q., Gunzburger, M., Ringler, T., 2011 0 Anticipated Potential Vorticity of Sadourny San, Staples, Iliescu (2011, 2013) 0 Approximate Deconvolution Method Stochastic & Statistical Parameterizations 0 Other session going on now in Y10 0



Graham & Ringler, 2013 Ocean Modelling In this comparison, untuned Leith beats: tuned harmonic, tuned biharmonic, Smagorinsky, LANS-alpha, & Anticipated PV

> See also Ramachandran et al, 2013 Ocean Modelling for SMOLES

#### QG Turbulence: Pot'l Enstrophy cascade

#### (potential vorticity<sup>2</sup>)

J. Charney, 1971 JAS



BFK & Menemenlis '08: Revise Leith Viscosity Scaling, So that diverging, vorticity-free, modes are also damped

$$\mathbf{v}_* = \left(\frac{\Delta x}{\pi}\right)^3 \sqrt{\Lambda^6 |\nabla_h q_{2d}|^2 + \Lambda_d^6 |\nabla_h (\nabla_h \cdot \mathbf{u}_*)|^2}$$

BFK and D. Menemenlis. Can large eddy simulation techniques improve mesoscale-rich ocean models? In M. Hecht and H. Hasumi, editors, Ocean Modeling in an Eddying Regime, volume 177, pages 319-338. AGU Geophysical Monograph Series, 2008.



FOX-KEMPER AND MENEMENLIS 331



Figure 4. Maximum Courant number,  $w\Delta t \Delta z$ , for vertical advection. Gray line is from the LeithOnly integration, and black line is from the LeithPlus integration.

### viscosily from Leith '96

#### viscosity from BFK & Menemenlis '08

#### CFL condition on vert. velocity

BFK and D. Menemenlis. Can large eddy simulation techniques improve mesoscale-rich ocean models? In M. Hecht and H. Hasumi, editors, Ocean Modeling in an Eddying Regime, volume 177, pages 319-338. AGU Geophysical Monograph Series, 2008.



Viscosity Scheme: BFK and D. Menemenlis. Can large eddy simulation techniques improve mesoscalerich ocean models? In M. Hecht and H. Hasumi, editors, Ocean Modeling in an Eddying Regime, volume 177, pages 319-338. AGU Geophysical Monograph Series, 2008.

#### 18km resolution

#### Estimating the Circulation & Climate of the Ocean LLC4320 Model



B. Fox-Kemper, S. Bachman, B. Pearson, and S. Reckinger. Principles and advances in subgrid modeling for eddy-rich simulations. CLIVAR Exchanges, 19(2):42-46, July 2014.

### Is 2D Turbulence a good proxy for stratified flow?



Nurser & Marshall,

1991 JPO

 For a few eddy timescales QG & 2D AGREE (Bracco et al. '04)

Yes:

Barotropic Flow--Obvious
 2d analogue

Eddy Fluxes--Divergent 2d
 flow & advective fluxes

No:

- Sloped, not horiz.
- Surface Effects?

# Potential Vorticity in shallow water eqtns:



# Vorticity: $\omega = \nabla \times \mathbf{v}$

# Stretching & Squashing



 $\frac{\hat{k} \cdot \omega}{h} = \frac{\hat{k} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{v}}{h}$ 

## Potential Vorticity:

# QG Equations

$$\begin{split} \partial_t q_q + J(\psi, q_q) - D_{q_q} &= O(\epsilon \text{Ro}_*/M_{R_*}, \epsilon \text{Pl}_*/M_{R_*}), \\ q_q &= 1 + \text{Ro}_* \left( \nabla_h^2 \psi + \text{Bu}_*^{-1} \partial_z \frac{\partial_z \psi}{\partial_z \bar{b}} \right) + \frac{\text{Pl}_* y}{\Delta y}, \end{split}$$

- Potential vorticity is the sole unknown (simplification from u,v,w,S,T,p)
- OPV can be related to advection (streamfct) under specific conditions
- These equations are good asymptotic approximations to full Boussinesq for intermediate scales on Earth: (mesoscale—100km, weeks)

Charney 46; Charney 71; Pedlosky 86

Menemenlis. Can large eddy simulation odeling 9-338 model 31 ocean cean l ges e-rich mesosca <mark>C</mark> asum 0 σ O BFK and D. es **M. Hech** Ō ech J D an



(potential vorticity<sup>2</sup>)

J. Charney, 1971 JAS



$$\nu_{qg} = \left(\frac{\Lambda_{qg}\Delta x}{\pi}\right)^3 \left|\nabla q_{qg}\right| = \left(\frac{\Lambda_{qg}\Delta x}{\pi}\right)^3 \left|\nabla_h \left[\beta y + \nabla_h^2 \psi + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{f_0^2}{N^2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}\right)\right]\right|.$$

$$q_{2d}^* = f + k \cdot \nabla imes u^* \ q_{qg}^* = f + \hat{k} \cdot \nabla imes u^* + rac{\partial}{\partial z} rac{f^2}{N^2} b^*$$

$$u_{qg} = \kappa_{Redi} = \kappa_{GM} = \left(\frac{\Lambda_{qg}\Delta x}{\pi}\right)^3 \left|\nabla q_{qg}\right|.$$

$$\nu_{qg} = \left(\frac{\Lambda_{qg}\Delta x}{\pi}\right)^3 \left|\nabla q_{qg}\right|$$

Different (Pot'l) Vorticity Gradients:  $q_{2d}^* = f + \hat{k} \cdot \nabla \times u^*$  $q_{qg}^* = f + \hat{k} \cdot \nabla \times u^* + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{f^2}{N^2} b^*$ 

Also, different implications, because relative vorticity, buoyancy, T, S dissipation now must be consistent with PV:  $\frac{Dq_{qg}^*}{Dt} = -\nabla \cdot \overline{u'q'_{qg}} \approx \nabla \cdot \left[\nu^* \nabla q_{2d} + \kappa_{gm}^* \nabla \left(q_{qg} - q_{2d}\right)\right] \rightarrow \kappa_{gm}^* = \nu^* = \kappa_i^*$ 

R. Hallberg/Ocean Modelling 72 (2013) 92-103



In most places, 0.1 degree resolves the largest deformation radius, plus a bit: Mesoscale Ocean Large Eddy Simulation

## QG vs. 2D

$$\nu_{qg} = \left(\frac{\Lambda_{qg}\Delta x}{\pi}\right)^3 \left|\nabla q_{qg}\right|$$

Different Vorticity Gradients  $q_{2d}^* = f + \hat{k} \cdot \nabla \times u^*$  $q_{qg}^* = f + \hat{k} \cdot \nabla \times u^* + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{f^2}{N^2} b^*$ 

stretching-needs "taming" where QG is a bad approx (equator, boundary layers, etc.)

Use gridscale nondims to determine when on the fly  $Ro^* = rac{U^*}{f\Delta x}$   $Bu^* = rac{N^{*2}\Delta z^2}{f^2\Delta x^2} = rac{L_d^2}{\Delta x^2} \sim Ro^{*2}Ri^*$ 



S. Bachman and B. Fox-Kemper. Eddy parameterization challenge suite. I: Eady spindown. Ocean Modelling, 64:12-28, 2013.





Wavenumber  $k (m^{-1})$ 





S. D. Bachman, BFK, and B. Pearson. A scale-aware subgrid model for quasigeostrophic turbulence. Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans, February 2017. In press.

#### Beware the Numerical Artifacts!!

#### Changing the discrete approx. of advection matters.



Grid Reynolds number, Re.



# Now, for something more realistic—the global ocean!

- O.1 degree (10km) resolution global ocean model (POP/CESM)
- Repeating Normal Year forcing
- Branches off of ``standard" simulation using biharmonic.
- Biharmonic, 2D Leith, QG Leith

- (a) log<sub>10</sub>(Mean kinetic energy from model (cm<sup>2</sup>/s<sup>2</sup>))
- (c) log<sub>10</sub>(Mean kinetic energy from AVISO 1993–2010 (cm<sup>2</sup>/s<sup>2</sup>))



(e)  $\log_{10}$  (Mean kinetic energy from drifters (cm<sup>2</sup>/s<sup>2</sup>))





(f)

(b)  $\log_{10}(\text{Eddy kinetic energy from model } (\text{cm}^2/\text{s}^2))$ 



(d) log<sub>10</sub>(Eddy kinetic energy from AVISO 1993–2010 (cm<sup>2</sup>/s<sup>2</sup>))



log<sub>10</sub>(Eddy kinetic energy from drifters (cm<sup>2</sup>/s<sup>2</sup>))



On cursory analysis, 0.1 degree models do well vs. Satellites and Drifters

B. Fox-Kemper, R. Lumpkin, and F. O. Bryan. Lateral transport in the ocean interior. In G. Siedler, S. M. Griffies, J. Gould, and J. A. Church, editors, Ocean Circulation and Climate: A 21st century perspective, volume 103 of International Geophysics Series, chapter 8, pages 185-209. Academic Press (Elsevier Online), 2013.



B. Pearson, B. Fox-Kemper, and S. D. Bachmarf. Evaluation of scale-aware subgrid mesoscale eddy models in a global eddy-rich model. Ocean Modelling, December 2016. Submitted.

# Viscosity in Vertical





B. Pearson, B. Fox-Kemper, and S. D. Bachman. Evaluation of scale-aware subgrid mesoscale eddy models in a global eddy-rich model. Ocean Modelling, December 2016. Submitted.



#### More EKE and Small Structures in MOLES

B. Pearson, B. Fox-Kemper, and S. D. Bachman. Evaluation of scale-aware subgrid mesoscale eddy models in a global eddy-rich model. Ocean Modelling, December 2016. Submitted.

#### Probability Distribution of KE Dissipation



B. Pearson, B. Fox-Kemper, and S. D. Bachman. Evaluation of scale-aware subgrid mesoscale eddy models in a global eddy-rich model. Ocean Modelling, December 2016. Submitted.

### Major Currents Affected





Florida Strait

# Conclusions

It is best to think of high-res ocean simulations as "large eddy simulations"—need eqtns for large scale!

- Take advantage of resolved flow and scaling for physically-based subgrid schemes.
- QG theory has provided such a scheme for mesoscalepermitting to resolving simulations.
- IOX less dissipative than traditional viscosity and dissipates where theory suggests it should.
- Small scales more energetic, salinity variance doubled even at 1000km scales, major currents affected, dominant ordering of energy budget affected.

#### A Moore's-law-like historical perspective: The Golden Era of Subgrid Modeling is Now!



All papers at: fox-kemper.com/research

SQG Turbulence: Surface Buoyancy & Velocity cascade--scales surface horiz. diffusivity only

W. Blumen, 1978 JAS Held et al 1995, JFM. Smith et al. 2002, JFM



Smag-Like (Inverse): Leith-Like (Direct):

$$\kappa_* = \left(\frac{\Upsilon\Delta x}{\pi}\right)^{4/3} \left|\frac{1}{f}\nabla_h b\right|^{2/3}$$
$$\kappa_* = \left(\frac{\Lambda\Delta x}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} \left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial z}|\nabla_h \psi|^2\right]^{1/2}$$